

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/764,389	01/23/2004	Jannis G. Stavrianopoulos	Enz-61(D8)	1697	
28171	7590 08/17/2006		EXAMINER		
ENZO BIOCHEM, INC.			RILEY, JEZIA		
527 MADISON AVENUE (9TH FLOOR) NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			1637		
			DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006	DATE MAILED: 08/17/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/764,389	STAVRIANOPOULOS ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jezia Riley	1637				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	ulv 2006.					
	<del>_</del>	action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
·	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🛛	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>287-307</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>287-307</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
<b></b>	w.)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
	e of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Statement(s) (PTO-152)   Statement(s) (PTO-152)   Statement(s) (PTO-152)   Statement(s) (PTO-152)   Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)   Statement(s) (PTO-152)   Statement(s) (PTO-15							

Application/Control Number: 10/764,389

Art Unit: 1637

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/10/06 has been entered.

## Response to Remarks

2. Applicants' arguments, filed on 7/10/06, have been approved and entered. They have been fully considered. Rejections and/or objections not reiterated from previous office actions are hereby withdrawn. The following rejections and/or objections are either newly applied or reiterated. They constitute the complete set presently being applied to the instant application.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of

Page 2

Art Unit: 1637

1

the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 287-307 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Glazer et al. (US 5,646,264) in view of Lee et al. US 5,945,526.

Glazer discloses in Figure 4 the synthesis a heterodimeric dye composition comprising a first dye that comprises a phenanthridinium moiety and a second dye that is different from the first dye. The linker that links both dyes can comprise bromine or chlorine counter-ion.

Glazer does not show that the attachment is through the phenyl ring.

Lee et al. disclose heterodimeric dye where the attachment is through a phenyl ring in ortho, meta or para position. See col. 6 , Tables 1-5.

Therefore it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to synthesis heterodimeric dyes as taught by Glazer comprising an attachment via the phenyl ring as shown by Lee. The motivation is that the attachment is provided on a ring that is less steric and also viewed as an electrophilic moiety and more reactive

Application/Control Number: 10/764,389

Art Unit: 1637

toward nucleophilic moiety. It is well known in the art of organic chemistry that a phenyl ring comprising an electron withdrawing moiety such as the phenanthridinium moiety will be more reactive toward nucleophilic group and therefore can be substituted in ortho, meta or para position.

Page 4

## 5. Response to Arguments:

Applicants argue that the references do not feature the position of the linkage to a second dye through the phenyl ring of the phenanthridinium moiety. This is not convincing because Lee et al do show such linkage. Additionally applicants mentioned unexpected results. Said unexpected results are discussed in their response filed 1/13/06. However said unexpected results are based on homodimeric compounds (two ethidium bromide molecules joined together through their phenyl groups), not heterodimeric as claimed. There is no disclosure of unexpected results for the heterodimeric dye composition.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jezia Riley whose telephone number is 571-272-0786. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on 571-272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/764,389

Art Unit: 1637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tuesday, August 15, 2006

JEZIA RILEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5